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Commentaries on Cutting Edge Science

The idea of the heart as a mitotic organ capable of cardiomyocyte renewal has been the subject of scientific polarization for over a decade. The ensuing years have witnessed a relentless quest to identify the true cardiac stem cell capable of regulating cardiac homeostasis, thereby providing evidence for any mitotic nature of cardiac tissue. Importantly, studies have effectively shown that similar to other organs, the heart contains a small population of resident stem cells responsible for cellular turnover to physiological and pathological demands.1 Ex vivo manipulation of this cardiac stem cell population unequivocally has demonstrated the repair potential of these cells after adoptive transfer in pathological heart tissue in a variety of small2 and large animal models.3 That being said, the controversy has been the true identity of a putative cardiac stem cell as recent studies have shown that this cell in question does not have significant cardiomyocyte forming potential and although it may have exogenous repair processes, its endogenous repair potential is not robust.4 The presented notion in 2003 was that the tyrosine kinase protein CD117 or c-kit was a bona fide marker to identify and study resident cardiac progenitors.

The notion in 2003 was that the tyrosine kinase protein CD117 or c-kit was a bona fide marker to identify and study resident cardiac progenitors. Contribution of CNC-derived c-kit+ cells to cardiac myocyte development is regulated by bone morphogenetic protein (BMP). However, ex vivo manipulation of CNC+ cells reveals efficient transformation to early cardiac progenitors.5

One of the lingering controversies in the field of cardiac regenerative medicine has been around the origin of c-kit+ cardiac stem cells in the heart and their contribution toward cardiac homeostasis. A recent study reports that a subpopulation of c-kit+ cardiac progenitors emerges from the cardiac neural crest (CNC) with the capacity to give rise to cardiomyocytes. Contribution of CNC-derived c-kit+ cells to cardiac myocyte development is regulated by bone morphogenetic protein (BMP). However, ex vivo manipulation of CNC+ cells reveals efficient transformation to early cardiac progenitors.

The idea of the heart as a mitotic organ capable of cardiomyocyte renewal has been the subject of scientific polarization for over a decade. The ensuing years have witnessed a relentless quest to identify the true cardiac stem cell capable of regulating cardiac homeostasis, thereby providing evidence for any mitotic nature of cardiac tissue. Importantly, studies have effectively shown that similar to other organs, the heart contains a small population of resident stem cells responsible for cellular turnover to physiological and pathological demands.1 Ex vivo manipulation of this cardiac stem cell population unequivocally has demonstrated the repair potential of these cells after adoptive transfer in pathological heart tissue in a variety of small2 and large animal models.3 That being said, the controversy has been the true identity of a putative cardiac stem cell as recent studies have shown that this cell in question does not have significant cardiomyocyte forming potential and although it may have exogenous repair processes, its endogenous repair potential is not robust.4 The presented notion in 2003 was that the tyrosine kinase protein CD117 or c-kit was a bona fide marker to identify and study resident cardiac stem cells, and these cells were an endogenous cardiac precursor cell (CPC) in the adult heart.1 Adoptive transfer studies have extensively shown that c-kit+ CPCs possess the ability to form all 3 cardiac lineages (endothelial cells, vascular smooth muscle cells, and cardiomyocytes), and all 3 could take part in the beneficial effects seen when injected into injured and failing hearts.1,2 Nevertheless, the question remains that if c-kit+ CPCs are so primed to adopt cardiac paternity, is there any role for these cells in normal cardiac development and can they contribute to cellular turnover during physiological and pathological cardiac changes.

The primary goal of the study by Hatzistergos et al3 was to determine the origin of c-kit+ CPCs in the heart and whether these cells contribute toward cardiomyogenesis. The authors make use of the c-kitCreERT2/+ mouse line crossed to 2 reporter lines, that is, CCAG-IRGC, a novel dual reporter line expressing ds-Red ubiquitously before Cre recombination and enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) after recombination, along with R26R-LacZ and observe appearance of EGFP+ cells, indicating c-kit recombination emerge around E9.5 in the heart and contribute toward cardiomyogenesis.5 These c-kit+ CPCs were mainly observed in embryonic neural tissues, craniofacial cells, neural tube, dorsal root ganglion, blood, gastrointestinal cells, gonads, pulmonary cells but interestingly, seemed within the outflow tract, epicardium and myocardium. Because a majority of the EGFP cells, indicative of c-kit recombination, were found in CNC-derived tissue, the authors set out to test whether neural crest lineage marks c-kit CPCs of the heart. Taking advantage of intersectional genetic fate-mapping approach, a novel mouse model carrying dual-recombinase system (Cre-loxP and Flp-FRT) was created to assess c-kit expression in the Wnt1-expressing CNC lineage and its derivatives.6 The Flp-FRT technology has gained prominence recently and is a site directed recombination strategy analogous to the Cre-lox system but involves recombination of sequences flanked by FRT recognition sites by the recombinase Flp. Subsequent findings with this mouse model established a linear relationship between the c-kit and the proto-oncogene protein Wnt1 that can mark a CNC lineage. Additional characterization of the developing cardiac tissue revealed expression of EGFP+ cells within the CNC derivatives including the outflow tract, tunica medica of the aortic arch, cardiac and aortic valves, atria, inflow tract, satellite glial progenitors, and sensory cells.7 Because ISL1 is considered to mark many cardiac progenitor lineages including CNC, whether CNC- Isl1 can give rise to myocyte lineage yet have a CNC origin, the c-kitCreERT2/+ line was crossed with mice carrying ISL1 nuclear LacZ allele. Several EGFP+ cells coexpressing LacZ were observed in CNC derivatives, corresponding to reports showing that a part of ISL1 CPCs arise in the CNC.8 Contrary to earlier reports on CNC contribution to cardiomyogenesis,7,8 the authors observed a large number
of EGFP+ atrial and ventricular cardiomyocytes along with CNC-c-kit expression within the pericardial, endocardial, and epicardial cells.5 Remarkably, CNC-c-kit+ CPCs coexpressed the endothelial cell marker PECAM1 (platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1) along with smooth muscle myosin heavy chain in the outflow tract, yet no EGFP+ cells were found within the coronary vasculature.5 The overall conclusion from these lineage-tracing experiments provided strong evidence toward an origin of a subpopulation of CPCs expressing c-kit in the CNC. Although CNC-c-kit+ cells possess cardiomyogenic properties, the extent to which these cells contribute toward the developing cardiac tissue was found to be low.

Because the lineage-tracing experiments revealed an unusual pattern of CNC-c-kit+ contribution toward cardiomyogenesis, the next set of experiments were aimed at characterizing whether CNC-c-kit+ cells exhibit similar or better cardiac differentiation potential ex vivo and providing a mechanistic insight for the observed low myogenic ability in vivo.5 The authors answer this important question by generating induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) from c-kit+/EGFP+ mice and determine their cardiac differentiation potential. Induction of differentiation was based on either ascorbic acid that produces an intermediate stage of cardiac differentiation or BMP antagonism, known to regulate development of both mesodermal and neural crest lineages. Of interest, both treatments led to enrichment of EGFP+ cells in beating embryoid bodies; however, there was significantly more EGFP+ embryoid bodies in the dorsomorphin (BMP antagonist) treatment group.5 Increased cardiac transcription markers such as ISL1/NKX-2.5 were also found to be upregulated in the dorsomorphin treatment group and although c-kit expression increased over time, there was no difference in basal c-kit expression after both ascorbic acid and dorsomorphin treatments.5 Therefore, these authors conclude that CNC-c-kit+ cells possess the ability to form cardiomyocytes ex vivo; however, this cardiomyogenic potential is retarded in vivo because of a BMP gradient in the cardiac tissue.5

Overall, this study provides significant new information potentially important for cardiac regeneration and provides new evidence to support the establishment of a relationship between origin of c-kit+ CPCs and CNC. Of particular interest is the finding that the CNC harbors any population of cardiac progenitors contradicting earlier reports associating CNC lineage mainly to outflow tract and its derivatives,8,9 although a pluripotent nature of the neural crest has been previously reported.4,16 Moreover, disruption of the CNC can lead to abnormalities in myocardial contractility, myocyte calcium handling, and a thin ventricular myocardium,11–13 raising the possibility for CNC contribution toward development of myocardium.

On the surface, the study described above seems to be in contrast to 2 recent studies using different strategies to attempt to lineage trace the origin and cardiomyocyte potential of c-kit+ CPCs.14,15 The overall conclusions of all 3 studies are clear: that these cells do not contribute significantly to adult cardiomyocytes and are likely inefficient to mount robust endogenous myocyte replenishment without exogenous administration. First, a study by van Berlo et al14 used a novel c-kit lineage-tracing mouse model to determine the relative contribution of the c-kit+ CPCs toward cardiomyogenesis. In their assessment, the authors concluded that c-kit-expressing cells contribute rarely to cardiomyocyte formation during heart development; rather, they primarily differentiate into coronary endothelial cells.14 Although this study was meticulously conducted, the mouse model as all models could have limitations and other studies are warranted to address the true origin and fate of these cells. Accordingly, a recent study using another mouse model aimed to address the limitations of the van Berlo et al14 data and actually provides a more definitive answer to contribution of the endogenous c-kit+ CPCs to cardiomyocyte formation in the heart.15 This study used several reporter mice targeting the c-kit locus and found that c-kit expression rarely colocalizes with cardiac markers, such as NKK-2.5 or cardiac troponin T; rather, c-kit expression was predominantly observed in cardiac endothelial cells.15 The latter findings are consistent with Van Berlo et al15 and again support the conclusion that these CPCs have only rare potential for cardiomyogenesis, which is also a conclusion from the study by Hatzistergos et al.5 However, further studies are needed to determine if altering the BMP gradient may increase the potential for these cells to provide significant cardiomyogenesis as suggested above.

It is critical to point out that all these studies do not take away from studies explicitly showing that adoptive transfer of exogenously expanded and maintained c-kit+ CPCs can restore cardiac function in a damaged heart as a consequence of forming cardiomyocytes, endothelial and smooth muscle cells along with the ability to release paracrine mediators at the site of injury.4,16 The well-documented efficacy of exogenous CPCs and the recent availability of these novel lineage-tracing mouse models provided the perfect opportunity to develop a system assessing exogenous CPCs ability to form cardiac lineages to endogenous c-kit precursors. Of note, none of the studies to date have isolated and characterized c-kit+ CPCs from the heart of these novel mouse models and conducted a head to head comparison of their cardiac repair ability including cardiomyogenic potential to the endogenous c-kit population labeled after recombination strategies in these mice. The conclusion from c-kit lineage-tracing studies was that the resident cardiac c-kit cells have minimal contribution toward cardiomyogenesis so whether isolated c-kit+ CPCs behave similarly in vitro and after transplantation in the heart remains to be tested. In addition, the conclusion that c-kit+ CPCs have minimal role in cardiomyogenesis has been prematurely interpreted as c-kit+ CPCs lacking the ability to form cardiomyocytes. The reality may well be that the heart simply does not have enough c-kit+ CPCs to mount an efficient regenerative response or the c-kit+ CPCs find cardiac transformation hard because of a different developmental origin as reported in the study by Hatzistergos et al.5 However, c-kit expression seems to be synonymous with the ability of pluripotent cells including embryonic stem cells or iPSCs to transgress toward the cardiomyocyte lineage characterized by an intermediate stage of cardiac progenitors expressing c-kit in corroboration with early cardiac transcription factor such as NKK-2.5, ISL1.17 Similarly, cardiomyocyte dedifferentiation induced by different treatments leads to the acquisition or reappearance of c-kit.18 One take home message from the Hatzistergos et al5
study is certainly the testable hypothesis that blocking BMP gradient may increase cardiac myocyte generation from c-kit CPCs but BMP importance for normal vascular development may potentially be a concern.

In summary, several important considerations discussed above suggest a diverse nature of c-kit+ CPCs in the heart and their associated effects toward cardiac regeneration. However, most importantly, as the first identification of this adult c-kit+ CPC population over a decade ago, a plethora of studies have either showed direct cardiac regeneration by CPC transplantation or enhancement of cardiac function because of activation of resident c-kit+ cells via paracrine/autocrine mechanisms.4,16 Exciting preclinical results formed the basis of a recently conducted phase 1 clinical trial designed to examine the safety and feasibility of c-kit+ CSCs for treatment of patients with heart failure,19 and a new study has been initiated studying a combination of c-kit CPCs and bone marrow–derived stem cells.20 Thus, regardless of their origin and potential to participate in the turnover of cardiomyocytes during aging, stress or disease, their therapeutic potential either directly or indirectly through secreted entities is what should be the focus going forward. Indeed, although, lineage-tracing stands out as one of the most efficient ways to determine cellular ancestry, the methodology used to date, like any other technology, has certain limitations described above that must not obscure the quest to find the true regenerative cardiac cell. The goal of all who study cardiac regeneration should be to improve the outcomes of heart failure for which we are in need of new therapies.
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